Double parton distributions of the pion

Wojciech Broniowski

17 April 2020

WB, **Enrique Ruiz Arriola**, PRD 101 (2020) 014019 (arXiv:1910.03707)

2018/31/B/ST2/01022
NATIONAL SCIENCE CENTRE
POLAND

Outline

- Recent status of double parton scattering (DPS)
- Theoretical issues of double parton distributions (dPDF)
- Simple example of the pion
- Lattice QCD prospects

Motivation for multi-parton distributions

- Old story (CERN AFS 1986, UA2, Fermilab), renewed interest since ATLAS measurement for pp$\to$ W+2 jets 2013 [Kuti, Weisskopf 1971, Konishi, Ukwa, Veneziano 1979, Gaunt, Stirling 2010, Diehl, Ostermeier, Schäfer 2012, . . . , reviews: Bartalani et al. 2011, Snigirev 2011, Rinaldi,Ceccopieri 2018]
- Model exploration [MIT bag: Chang, Manohar, Waalewijn 2013], valon [WB, ERA, Golec-Biernat 2013, 2016], constituent quarks: Rinaldi, Scopetta, Traini, Vento 2013, 2018]
- Questions: factorization, interference of single- and double-parton scattering [Manohar, Waalewijn 2012, Gaunt 2013], longitudinal-transverse decoupling, positivity bounds [Diehl, Casemets 2013], transverse momentum dependence [Casemets, Gaunt 2019]
- Gaunt-Stirling sum rules [Gaunt, Stirling 2010, WB, ERA 2013, Diehl, Gaunt, Lang, Plößl, Schäfer 2019, 2020]
- Transverse distribution of partons, multi-parton correlations in the hadronic wave function, background to new physics searches from DPS

Double parton scattering

Example of double parton scattering (DPS)

CMS 1909.06265: **Evidence** *for WW production from double-parton interactions in pp collisions at* $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$*=13 TeV*



SPS



DPS

- two additional interactions in SPS → cross section suppressed
- absence of jets in DPS → handle to reduce the SPS background
- leptonic decays → clean final state in the detector

Other DPS searches

CMS: $\sigma_{\mathrm{DPS},WW} = 1.41 \pm 0.28(\mathrm{stat}) \pm 0.28(\mathrm{syst})$ pb (3.9 σ level)
W+2jets, 2J/ψ, 2W, 4jets, 3jets+γ, open charm, ...
[example of predictions from Łuszczak, Maciuła, Szczurek 2011]





DPS can be comparable to SPS at the LHC

Master formula for DPS production

$$\sigma^{DPS}_{hh'\to AB} = \frac{m}{2} \sum_{i,j,k,l} \int dx_1 dx_2 dx'_1 dx'_2 d^2b_1 d^2b_2 d^2b \times$$

$$D^{ij}_h(x_1, x_2, \boldsymbol{b_1}, \boldsymbol{b_2}; \mu) D^{kl}_{h'}(x'_1, x'_2, \boldsymbol{b} + \boldsymbol{b}_1, \boldsymbol{b} + \boldsymbol{b}_2; \mu) \sigma^{ik}_A(x_1, x'_1; \mu) \sigma^{jl}_B(x_2, x'_2; \mu)$$

($m = 1$ for $A = B$ or 2 otherwise)

If factorization holds ($D^{ij}_h(x_1, x_2, \boldsymbol{b_1}, \boldsymbol{b_2}) = D^i_h(x_1) D^j_h(x_2) f^i_h(\boldsymbol{b_1}) f^j_h(\boldsymbol{b_2})$):

$$\sigma^{DPS}_{hh'\to AB} = \frac{m}{2} \sum_{i,j,k,l} \int dx_1 dx_2 dx'_1 dx'_2 d^2q_\perp \times$$

$$D^i_h(x_1) D^j_h(x_2) F^{ij}_h(\boldsymbol{q}_\perp) D^k_{h'}(x'_1) D^l_{h'}(x'_2) F^{kl}_{h'}(-\boldsymbol{q}_\perp) \sigma^{ik}_A(x_1, x'_1) \sigma^{jl}_B(x_2, x'_2)$$

"Pocket" formula

$$\sigma^{DPS}_{hh'\to AB} \simeq \frac{m}{2} \sigma^{SPS}_{hh'\to A} \sigma^{SPS}_{hh'\to B} / \sigma_{\rm eff}$$

$$\sigma_{\rm eff} \equiv 1 / \int d^2q_\perp F_h(\boldsymbol{q}_\perp) F_{h'}(-\boldsymbol{q}_\perp)$$

"effective cross section", typically of the order of the geometric size $\sim 10 - 20$ mb

Double parton distributions

Definition

Intuitive probabilistic definition:

Multi-parton distribution = probability distribution that struck partons have LC momentum fractions x_i

Field-theoretic definition of (spin-averaged) sPDF and dPDF [Diehl, Ostermeier, Schaeffer 2012] of a hadron with momentum p:

$$D_j(x) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}z^-}{2\pi}\, e^{ixz^-p^+} \langle p|\, \mathcal{O}_j(0,z)\, |p\rangle \Big|_{z^+=0\,, \boldsymbol{z}=\boldsymbol{0}}$$

$$D_{j_1j_2}(x_1,x_2,\boldsymbol{b}) = 2p^+ \int \mathrm{d}y^- \,\frac{\mathrm{d}z_1^-}{2\pi}\,\frac{\mathrm{d}z_2^-}{2\pi}\; e^{i(x_1z_1^- + x_2z_2^-)p^+} \times \langle p|\, \mathcal{O}_{j_1}(y,z_1)\, \mathcal{O}_{j_2}(0,z_2) \Big|_{z_1^+=z_2^+=y^+=0\,, \boldsymbol{z}_1=\boldsymbol{z}_2=\boldsymbol{0}}$$

$\mathcal{O}_q(y,z) = \frac{1}{2}\,\bar{q}(y-\frac{z}{2})\gamma^+ q(y+\frac{z}{2}),\ \ldots$ (LC gauge) $\qquad v^{\pm} = (v^0 \pm v^3)/\sqrt{2}$

$y = (y^+, y^-, \boldsymbol{b})$, ($\boldsymbol{b}$ is the transverse distance between the two quarks)

(no problem with gauge links!)

dPDF in momentum space

Fourier transform in $\boldsymbol{b}$

$$D_{j_1 j_2}(x_1, x_2, \boldsymbol{b}) \to \tilde{D}_{j_1 j_2}(x_1, x_2, \boldsymbol{q})$$



Special case of $\boldsymbol{q} = \boldsymbol{0}$:

$$D_{j_1 j_2}(x_1, x_2) \equiv \tilde{D}_{j_1 j_2}(x_1, x_2, \boldsymbol{q} = \boldsymbol{0}) = \int d^2 b D_{j_1 j_2}(x_1, x_2, \boldsymbol{b})$$

Gaunt-Stirling sum rules

[Gaunt, Stirling, JHEP (2010) 1003:005, Gaunt, PhD Thesis]
Fock-space decomposition on the light front, mom. conservation $\rightarrow$

$$\int_0^{1-x_2} dx_1\, D_{i_{\text{val}}j}(x_1, x_2) = (N_{i_{\text{val}}} - \delta_{ij} + \delta_{\bar{i}j}) D_j(x_2) \quad \text{(quark number)}$$

$$\sum_i \int_0^{1-x_2} dx_1\, x_1 D_{ij}(x_1, x_2) = (1 - x_2) D_j(x_2) \quad \text{(momentum)}$$

$(A_{i_{\text{val}}} \equiv A_i - A_{\bar{i}})$

$$N_{i_{\text{val}}} = \int_0^1 dx\, D_{i_{\text{val}}}(x)$$

- Preserved by dDGLAP evolution
- Non-trivial to satisfy with the (guessed) function
- Checked in light-front perturbation theory and in lowest-order covariant calculations in [Diehl, Plößl, Schäfer 2019]

Important and fundamental constraints!

The pion

Why the pion

- Much simpler theoretically than the proton
- Possible future results for dPDFs from lattice correlators in the pion state, extending the studies of [Zimmermann et al. 2017, 2018] $\rightarrow$ moments in x_1 and x_2 and the transverse form factor
- Nicely illustrates formalism, theoretical features

Chiral quark models



- χSB breaking $\rightarrow$ massive quarks
- Point-like interactions
- Soft matrix elements with pions (and photons, W, Z)
- Large-N_c $\rightarrow$ one-quark loop
- Regularization

pion – Goldstone boson of χSB, fully relativistic $q\bar{q}$ bound state of the Bethe-Salpeter equation

Quantities evaluated at the quark model scale
(where constituent quarks are the only degrees of freedom)

Chiral quark models



- χSB breaking $\rightarrow$ massive quarks
- Point-like interactions
- Soft matrix elements with pions (and photons, W, Z)
- Large-N_c $\rightarrow$ one-quark loop
- Regularization

pion – Goldstone boson of χSB, fully relativistic $q\bar{q}$ bound state of the Bethe-Salpeter equation

Need for QCD evolution, hard-soft factorization
Gluon dressing, renorm-group improved
Creates bulk of the effect

sPDF in NJL

[Davidson, Arriola, 1995]



In the chiral limit of $m_\pi = 0$:

$$q_{\text{val}}(x; Q_0) = 1 \times \theta[x(1-x)]$$

Quarks are the only degrees of freedom, hence saturate the PDF sum rules:
$\int_0^1 dx\, q_{\text{val}}(x; Q_0) = 1$ (valence), $2\int_0^1 dx\, x q_{\text{val}}(x; Q_0) = 1$ (momentum)

Pion valence quark sPDF, NJL vs E615



points: Fermilab E615
Drell-Yan, $\pi^{\pm}W \to \mu^+\mu^- X$

dashed line: 2005 NLO reanalysis [Wijesoorija et al.]

band: QM + LO DGLAP from $Q_0 = 313^{+20}_{-10}$ MeV to $Q = 4$ GeV

Other results: GPD [WB, ERA, Golec-Biernat 2008], TDA [WB, ERA 2007], equal-time wave functions [WB, ERA, Prelovsek, Šantelj 2009], transversity distributions [WB, ERA, Dorokhov 2009], quasi-distribution amplitude [WB, ERA, 2018]

dPDF of the pion in NJL (new stuff)

- WB talk at Light Cone 2019, 16-20 Sep. 2019, Palaiseau, France
- A. Courtoy, S. Noguera, and S. Scopetta, JHEP 12, 045 (2019), arXiv:1909.09530
- WB, ERA, PRD 101 (2020) 014019, arXiv:1910.03707

dPDF of the pion in NJL model

In LC kinematics only one diagram:



In the chiral limit of $m_\pi^2 = 0$ a very simple result follows:

$$D_{u\bar{d}}(x_1, x_2, \boldsymbol{q}_\perp) = \delta(1 - x_1 - x_2)\theta[x_1(1 - x_1)]\theta[x_2(1 - x_2)]F(\boldsymbol{q}_\perp^2)$$

momentum conservation support form factor

- Factorization (in the chiral limit) of the longitudinal and transverse dynamics
- GS sum rules satisfied (preserved by the evolution)
- Results at the quark-model scale $\rightarrow$ evolution

dDGLAP evolution in the Mellin space

[Kirschner 1979, Shelest, Snigirev, Zinovev 1982]: method of solving dDGLAP based on the Mellin moments, similarly to sPDF simplification for valence distributions



$t = \frac{1}{2\pi\beta} \log\left[1 + \alpha_s(\mu)\beta \log(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/\mu)\right],\ \beta = \frac{11N_c - 2N_f}{12\pi}$

Valence:

$$\text{dPDF}: \quad \frac{d}{dt} M^{n_1 n_2}_{j_1 j_2}(t) = \left(P^{n_1}_{j_1 \to j_1} + P^{n_2}_{j_2 \to j_2}\right) M^{n_1 n_2}_{j_1, j_2}(t)$$

$$\text{sPDF}: \quad \frac{d}{dt} M^{n}_{j}(t) = P^{n}_{j \to j} M^{n}_{j}(t)$$

$x_1 x_2 D^{\pi^+}_{u\bar{d}}(x_1, x_2)$



$D^{\pi^+}_{u\bar{d}}(x_1, x_2)$ – log scale



Correlation (cf. [Golec-Biernat et al. 2015] for gluons in p)

$$\frac{D^{\pi^+}_{u\bar{d}}(x_1,x_2)}{D_u(x_1)D_{\bar{d}}(x_2)}$$



Valence moments in NJL

$$\frac{\langle x_1^n x_2^m \rangle}{\langle x_1^n \rangle \langle x_2^m \rangle} = \frac{(1+n)!(1+m)!}{(1+n+m)!} \quad \text{(NJL, any scale)}$$

(independent of the evolution scale)

	1	2	3	4
1	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{2}{5}$	$\frac{1}{3}$
2	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{10}$	$\frac{1}{5}$	$\frac{1}{7}$
3	$\frac{2}{5}$	$\frac{1}{5}$	$\frac{4}{35}$	$\frac{1}{14}$
4	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{7}$	$\frac{1}{14}$	$\frac{5}{126}$



Double moments reduced compared to products of single moments (longitudinal momentum conservation, would be 1 if no correlations!)

Pion on the lattice

sPDF:
Lowest moment of sPDF evaluated on the lattice [Abdel-Rehim et al. 2016]:
$\langle x \rangle_{\text{val}} = 0.214^{+12}_{-9}$ at $\mu = 2$ GeV, $m_\pi = 139$ MeV

$\langle x \rangle_{\text{val}} = 0.256(13)$ phenomenologically [Wijesooriya et al. 2005]

dPDF: not yet, but similar correlations studied [Zimmermann et al. 2017, 2018]



More lattice results expected ...

$\langle x_1 x_2 \rangle_{\text{val}} / \langle x \rangle^2_{\text{val}}$
simplest (and to LO scale independent) measure of longitudinal correlations

Transverse structure

dPDF transverse form factor

- Depends on the regulator, good for low $\boldsymbol{q}_\perp$
- Transverse form factor in NJL with spectral regulariation (vector meson dominance)

$$F(\boldsymbol{q}_\perp) = \frac{m_\rho^4 - \boldsymbol{q}_\perp^2 m_\rho^2}{\left(m_\rho^2 + \boldsymbol{q}_\perp^2\right)^2},$$

- Recall $\sigma_{\mathrm{DPS}}^{AB} = \frac{m}{2}\sigma_{\mathrm{SPS}}^{A}\sigma_{\mathrm{SPS}}^{B}/\sigma_{\mathrm{eff}}$
- Effective cross section (here coincides with geometric)

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{eff}} = \frac{1}{\int \frac{d^2\boldsymbol{q}_\perp}{(2\pi)^2} F(\boldsymbol{q}_\perp) F(-\boldsymbol{q}_\perp)} = \pi \frac{12}{m_\rho^2} = \pi \langle b^2 \rangle_f = 23 \text{ mb}$$

Summary

- Topic driven by recent experimental evidence as well as future lattice studies
- Important issues of correlations breaking the typically assumed factorizations
- NJL: simplest field theory of the pion in the soft regime; spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking; covariant calculation, all symmetries preserved $\to$ good features
- dPDF in NJL = $\delta(1 - x_1 - x_2) \times F(\boldsymbol{q}_\perp^2)$ + dDGLAP evolution; longitudinal-transverse factorization (in the chiral limit)
- Correlations decrease with increasing evolution scale and are probably not very important ($\pm 25\%$) in the range probed by experiments (which at the moment are concerned with orders of magnitude), justifying the product ansatz in that limit
- Ratios of Mellin moments measure the $x_1 - x_2$ factorization breaking; could be verified in future lattice calculations
- The effective cross section in the model is geometric

Back-up

CMS



CMS
77.4 fb^{-1} (13 TeV)
Data
WZ
Nonprompt
Rare
Wγ*
DPS W$^\pm$W$^\pm$
ZZ
W/Zγ
Charge misid.
e$^+$μ$^+$
e$^-$μ$^-$
μ$^+$μ$^+$
μ$^-$μ$^-$
Events
Data/bkg.
Total background uncertainty
DPS W$^\pm$W$^\pm$
Bin number (e$^+$μ$^+$)
Bin number (e$^-$μ$^-$)
Bin number (μ$^+$μ$^+$)
Bin number (μ$^-$μ$^-$)