

Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD

Krzysztof Cichy Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań, Poland





Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 1 / 29





- 1. Introduction
- 2. PDFs: quasi and pseudo
- 3. Lattice impact on pheno?
- 4. Twist-3 PDFs
- 5. GPDs
- 6. Conclusions and prospects

Collaborators:

- C. Alexandrou (Cyprus)
- M. Bhat (Poznań)
- S. Bhattacharya (Temple)
- M. Constantinou (Temple)
- L. Del Debbio (Edinburgh)
- T. Giani (Edinburgh)
- K. Hadjiyiannakou (Cyprus)
- K. Jansen (DESY)
- A. Metz (Temple)
- A. Scapellato (Poznań)
- F. Steffens (Bonn)



NNPDF

Based on:

- M. Bhat, K. Cichy, M. Constantinou, A. Scapellato, "Parton distribution functions from lattice QCD at physical quark masses via the pseudo-distribution approach", arXiv:2005.02102
- S. Bhattacharya, K. Cichy, M. Constantinou, A. Metz, A. Scapellato, F. Steffens, "One-loop matching for the twist-3 parton distribution $g_T(x)$ ", Phys. Rev. D102 (2020) 034005, "New insights on proton structure from lattice QCD: the twist-3 parton distribution function $g_T(x)$ ", arXiv:2004.04130, "The role of zero-mode contributions in the matching for the twist-3 PDFs e(x) and $h_L(x)$ ", arXiv:2006.12347
- C. Alexandrou, K. Cichy, M. Constantinou, K. Hadjiyiannakou, K. Jansen, A. Scapellato, F. Steffens, "Unpolarized and helicity generalized parton distributions of the proton within lattice QCD", arXiv:2008.10573
- C. Alexandrou, K. Cichy, M. Constantinou, K. Hadjiyiannakou, K. Jansen, A. Scapellato, F. Steffens, "Systematic uncertainties in parton distribution functions from lattice QCD simulations at the physical point", Phys. Rev. D99 (2019) 114504
- K. Cichy, L. Del Debbio, T. Giani, "Parton distributions from lattice data: the nonsinglet case", JHEP 10 (2019) 137
- C. Alexandrou, K. Cichy, M. Constantinou, K. Jansen, A. Scapellato, F. Steffens, "Light-Cone Parton Distribution Functions from Lattice QCD", Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 112001,"Transversity parton distribution functions from lattice QCD", Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) 091503 (Rapid Communications)

Review of the field:

• K. Cichy, M. Constantinou, "A guide to light-cone PDFs from Lattice QCD: an overview of approaches, techniques and results", invited review article for a special issue of Advances in High Energy Physics, AHEP 2019 (2019) 3036904, arXiv: 1811.07248





The nucleon is a very complicated system...

Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 3 / 29



The nucleon is a very complicated system... ... and its structure is more complex the closer we look!







The nucleon is a very complicated system... ...and its structure is more complex the closer we look!

Different aspects:









The nucleon is a very complicated system... ...and its structure is more complex the closer we look!

Different aspects:

- how the quarks and gluons move inside the proton
- 3D imaging of the proton "hadron tomography"
- role of gluons and their emergent properties
- how is spin decomposed
- origin of proton mass
- . . .









The nucleon is a very complicated system... ...and its structure is more complex the closer we look!

Different aspects:

- how the quarks and gluons move inside the proton
- 3D imaging of the proton "hadron tomography"
- role of gluons and their emergent properties
- how is spin decomposed
- origin of proton mass
- ...

Krzysztof Cichy

Different functions characterizing the behavior of partons:











The nucleon is a very complicated system... ...and its structure is more complex the closer we look!

Different aspects:

- how the quarks and gluons move inside the proton
- 3D imaging of the proton "hadron tomography"
- role of gluons and their emergent properties
- how is spin decomposed
- origin of proton mass
- ...

Different functions characterizing the behavior of partons:

- 1D: form factors
- 1D: parton distribution functions (PDFs)









The nucleon is a very complicated system... ...and its structure is more complex the closer we look!

Different aspects:

- how the quarks and gluons move inside the proton
- 3D imaging of the proton "hadron tomography"
- role of gluons and their emergent properties
- how is spin decomposed
- origin of proton mass
- ...

Different functions characterizing the behavior of partons:

- 1D: form factors
- 1D: parton distribution functions (PDFs)
- 3D: generalized parton distributions (GPDs)
- 3D: transverse momentum dependent PDFs (TMDs)







Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 3 / 29



The nucleon is a very complicated system... ...and its structure is more complex the closer we look!

Different aspects:

- how the quarks and gluons move inside the proton
- 3D imaging of the proton "hadron tomography"
- role of gluons and their emergent properties
- how is spin decomposed
- origin of proton mass
- ...

Different functions characterizing the behavior of partons:

- 1D: form factors
- 1D: parton distribution functions (PDFs)
- 3D: generalized parton distributions (GPDs)
- 3D: transverse momentum dependent PDFs (TMDs)
- 5D: Wigner function









Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 3 / 29



Nucleon structure

Lattice PDFs

Approaches Quasi-PDFs Pseudo-PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Quasi-GPDs

Summary

Twist-3

Lattice and pheno

LQCD

PDFs and the lattice



Do we need to know partonic functions from the lattice? Maybe it is not needed if we have huge expertise in fitting PDFs from abundant experimental data?

Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 4 / 29





Outline of the talk

Lattice PDFs

Nucleon structure

LQCD

Approaches

Quasi-PDFs

 $\mathsf{Pseudo}\mathsf{-}\mathsf{PDFs}$

Pseudo-PDFs

Lattice and pheno

Twist-3

Quasi-GPDs

Summary

Do we need to know partonic functions from the lattice? Maybe it is not needed if we have huge expertise in fitting PDFs from abundant experimental data?

However:

• knowing something from first principles is always desirable,

Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 4 / 29





Outline of the talk

Lattice PDFs

Nucleon structure

LQCD

Approaches

Quasi-PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Lattice and pheno

Twist-3

Quasi-GPDs

Summary

Do we need to know partonic functions from the lattice?

Maybe it is not needed if we have huge expertise in fitting PDFs from abundant experimental data?

However:

- knowing something from first principles is always desirable,
- good knowledge only of unpolarized and helicity PDFs,
- transversity PDFs not much constrained by experiment,





Do we need to know partonic functions from the lattice?

Maybe it is not needed if we have huge expertise in fitting PDFs from abundant experimental data?

However:

- knowing something from first principles is always desirable,
- good knowledge only of unpolarized and helicity PDFs,
- transversity PDFs not much constrained by experiment,
- other kinds of functions very difficult to extract solely from experiment: GPDs, TMDs, twist-3, ...

Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 4 / 29

Outline of the talk

Lattice PDFs

Nucleon structure

LQCD

Approaches

Quasi-PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Lattice and pheno

Twist-3

Quasi-GPDs



Nucleon structure

Lattice PDFs

Approaches

Quasi-PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Lattice and pheno

LQCD

PDFs and the lattice



Do we need to know partonic functions from the lattice?

Maybe it is not needed if we have huge expertise in fitting PDFs from abundant experimental data?

However:

- knowing something from first principles is always desirable,
- good knowledge only of unpolarized and helicity PDFs,
- transversity PDFs not much constrained by experiment,
- other kinds of functions very difficult to extract solely from experiment: GPDs, TMDs, twist-3, ...

Hence, lattice extraction of partonic functions is a well-justified aim!

Summary

Quasi-GPDs

Twist-3



Nucleon structure

Lattice PDFs

Approaches

Quasi-PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Quasi-GPDs

Summary

Twist-3

Lattice and pheno

LQCD

PDFs and the lattice



Do we need to know partonic functions from the lattice?

Maybe it is not needed if we have huge expertise in fitting PDFs from abundant experimental data?

However:

- knowing something from first principles is always desirable,
- good knowledge only of unpolarized and helicity PDFs,
- transversity PDFs not much constrained by experiment,
- other kinds of functions very difficult to extract solely from experiment: GPDs, TMDs, twist-3, ...

Hence, lattice extraction of partonic functions is a well-justified aim!

• Need to start with reliable extraction of PDFs.



PDFs and the lattice



Do we need to know partonic functions from the lattice?

Maybe it is not needed if we have huge expertise in fitting PDFs from abundant experimental data?

However:

- knowing something from first principles is always desirable,
- good knowledge only of unpolarized and helicity PDFs,
- transversity PDFs not much constrained by experiment,
- other kinds of functions very difficult to extract solely from experiment: GPDs, TMDs, twist-3, ...

Hence, lattice extraction of partonic functions is a well-justified aim!

- Need to start with reliable extraction of PDFs.
- PDFs non-perturbative, so very natural to try on the lattice.

Lattice PDFs

Nucleon structure

LQCD

Approaches

Quasi-PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Lattice and pheno

Twist-3

Quasi-GPDs





Do we need to know partonic functions from the lattice?

Maybe it is not needed if we have huge expertise in fitting PDFs from abundant experimental data?

However:

- knowing something from first principles is always desirable,
- good knowledge only of unpolarized and helicity PDFs,
- transversity PDFs not much constrained by experiment,
- other kinds of functions very difficult to extract solely from experiment: GPDs, TMDs, twist-3, ...

Hence, lattice extraction of partonic functions is a well-justified aim!

- Need to start with reliable extraction of PDFs.
- PDFs non-perturbative, so very natural to try on the lattice.
- But: PDFs given in terms of non-local light-cone correlators intrinsically Minkowskian:

where: $\xi^- = \frac{\xi^0 - \xi^3}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $\mathcal{A}(\xi^-, 0)$ is the Wilson line from 0 to ξ^- .

Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 4 / 29

Outline of the talk

Lattice PDFs

Nucleon structure

LQCD

Approaches

Quasi-PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Lattice and pheno

Twist-3

Quasi-GPDs





Do we need to know partonic functions from the lattice?

Maybe it is not needed if we have huge expertise in fitting PDFs from abundant experimental data?

However:

- knowing something from first principles is always desirable,
- good knowledge only of unpolarized and helicity PDFs,
- transversity PDFs not much constrained by experiment,
- other kinds of functions very difficult to extract solely from experiment: GPDs, TMDs, twist-3, ...

Hence, lattice extraction of partonic functions is a well-justified aim!

- Need to start with reliable extraction of PDFs.
- PDFs non-perturbative, so very natural to try on the lattice.
- But: PDFs given in terms of non-local light-cone correlators intrinsically Minkowskian:

 $q(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\xi^- e^{-ixp^+\xi^-} \langle N | \overline{\psi}(\xi^-) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(\xi^-, 0) \psi(0) | N \rangle,$

where: $\xi^- = \frac{\xi^0 - \xi^3}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $\mathcal{A}(\xi^-, 0)$ is the Wilson line from 0 to ξ^- .

• inaccessible on the lattice...

Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 4 / 29

Outline of the talk

Lattice PDFs

Nucleon structure

LQCD

Approaches

Quasi-PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

```
Lattice and pheno
```

Twist-3

Quasi-GPDs



Nucleon structure

Lattice PDFs

Approaches

Quasi-PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Quasi-GPDs

Summary

Twist-3

Lattice and pheno

LQCD

PDFs and the lattice



Do we need to know partonic functions from the lattice?

Maybe it is not needed if we have huge expertise in fitting PDFs from abundant experimental data?

However:

- knowing something from first principles is always desirable,
- good knowledge only of unpolarized and helicity PDFs,
- transversity PDFs not much constrained by experiment,
- other kinds of functions very difficult to extract solely from experiment: GPDs, TMDs, twist-3, ...

Hence, lattice extraction of partonic functions is a well-justified aim!

- Need to start with reliable extraction of PDFs.
- PDFs non-perturbative, so very natural to try on the lattice.
- But: PDFs given in terms of non-local light-cone correlators intrinsically Minkowskian:

 $q(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\xi^- e^{-ixp^+\xi^-} \langle N | \overline{\psi}(\xi^-) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(\xi^-, 0) \psi(0) | N \rangle,$

where: $\xi^- = \frac{\xi^0 - \xi^3}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $\mathcal{A}(\xi^-, 0)$ is the Wilson line from 0 to ξ^- .

• inaccessible on the lattice...

Recently: new direct approaches to get x-dependence.

Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 4 / 29





Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 5 / 29





• needed because of non-perturbative aspects of QCD

Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 5 / 29



- needed because of non-perturbative aspects of QCD
- allows for a quantitative *ab initio* study of QCD





Li Solaration Contraction

- needed because of non-perturbative aspects of QCD
- allows for a quantitative *ab initio* study of QCD
- QCD d.o.f.'s put on a **Euclidean** lattice
 - $\star \quad \mathsf{quarks} \to \mathsf{sites}$
 - $\star \quad \mathsf{gluons} \to \mathsf{links}$





Jon Marked Twister to Compare the Compare

- needed because of non-perturbative aspects of QCD
- allows for a quantitative *ab initio* study of QCD
- QCD d.o.f.'s put on a **Euclidean** lattice
 - $\star \quad \mathsf{quarks} \to \mathsf{sites}$
 - $\star \quad \mathsf{gluons} \to \mathsf{links}$
- various discretizations can be used for quarks and gluons





A service of Twisteer High Composition of the service of the servi

- needed because of non-perturbative aspects of QCD
- allows for a quantitative *ab initio* study of QCD
- QCD d.o.f.'s put on a **Euclidean** lattice
 - $\star \quad \mathsf{quarks} \to \mathsf{sites}$
 - $\star \quad \mathsf{gluons} \to \mathsf{links}$
- various discretizations can be used for quarks and gluons
- typical lattice parameters:
 - \star L/a = 32, 48, 64, 80, 96, 128
 - $\star \quad a \in [0.04, 0.15] \text{ fm}$
 - $\star \quad L \in [2,10] \,\, \mathrm{fm}$
 - $\star \quad m_{\pi}L \geq 3-4$





- needed because of non-perturbative aspects of QCD
- allows for a quantitative *ab initio* study of QCD
- QCD d.o.f.'s put on a **Euclidean** lattice
 - $\star \quad \mathsf{quarks} \to \mathsf{sites}$
 - $\star \quad \mathsf{gluons} \to \mathsf{links}$
- various discretizations can be used for quarks and gluons
- typical lattice parameters:
 - \star L/a = 32, 48, 64, 80, 96, 128
 - $\star \quad a \in [0.04, 0.15] \text{ fm}$
 - $\star \quad L \in [2,10] \,\, \mathrm{fm}$
 - $\star \quad m_{\pi}L \geq 3-4$
 - $\star \Rightarrow \infty$ -dim path integral $\rightarrow 10^8 10^9$ -dim integral







- needed because of non-perturbative aspects of QCD
- allows for a quantitative *ab initio* study of QCD
- QCD d.o.f.'s put on a **Euclidean** lattice
 - $\star \quad \mathsf{quarks} \to \mathsf{sites}$
 - $\star \quad \mathsf{gluons} \to \mathsf{links}$
- various discretizations can be used for quarks and gluons
- typical lattice parameters:
 - \star L/a = 32, 48, 64, 80, 96, 128
 - $\star \quad a \in [0.04, 0.15] \text{ fm}$
 - $\star \quad L \in [2,10] \,\, \mathrm{fm}$
 - $\star \quad m_{\pi}L \geq 3-4$
 - $\star \Rightarrow \infty$ -dim path integral $\rightarrow 10^8 10^9$ -dim integral
- Monte Carlo simulations to evaluate the discretized path integral







- needed because of non-perturbative aspects of QCD
- allows for a quantitative *ab initio* study of QCD
- QCD d.o.f.'s put on a **Euclidean** lattice
 - $\star \quad \mathsf{quarks} \to \mathsf{sites}$
 - $\star \quad \mathsf{gluons} \to \mathsf{links}$
- various discretizations can be used for quarks and gluons
- typical lattice parameters:
 - \star L/a = 32, 48, 64, 80, 96, 128
 - $\star \quad a \in [0.04, 0.15] \text{ fm}$
 - $\star \quad L \in [2,10] \text{ fm}$
 - $\star \quad m_{\pi}L \geq 3-4$
 - $\star \Rightarrow \infty$ -dim path integral $\rightarrow 10^8 10^9$ -dim integral
- Monte Carlo simulations to evaluate the discretized path integral
- feasible, but still requires huge computational resources of
 - $\mathcal{O}(1-1000)$ million core-hours, depending on the question asked





Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 5 / 29





- needed because of non-perturbative aspects of QCD
- allows for a quantitative *ab initio* study of QCD
- QCD d.o.f.'s put on a **Euclidean** lattice
 - $\star \quad \mathsf{quarks} \to \mathsf{sites}$
 - $\star \quad \mathsf{gluons} \to \mathsf{links}$
- various discretizations can be used for quarks and gluons
- typical lattice parameters:
 - \star L/a = 32, 48, 64, 80, 96, 128
 - $\star \quad a \in [0.04, 0.15] \text{ fm}$
 - $\star \quad L \in [2,10] \,\, \mathrm{fm}$
 - $\star \quad m_{\pi}L \geq 3-4$
 - $\star \Rightarrow \infty$ -dim path integral $\rightarrow 10^8 10^9$ -dim integral
- Monte Carlo simulations to evaluate the discretized path integral
- feasible, but still requires huge computational resources of $\mathcal{O}(1-1000)$ million core-hours, depending on the question asked
- formally, evaluation of a thermodynamic expectation value with respect to the Boltzmann factor $e^{-S_{\rm QCD}}$







- needed because of non-perturbative aspects of QCD
- allows for a quantitative *ab initio* study of QCD
- QCD d.o.f.'s put on a **Euclidean** lattice
 - $\star \quad \mathsf{quarks} \to \mathsf{sites}$
 - $\star \quad \mathsf{gluons} \to \mathsf{links}$
- various discretizations can be used for quarks and gluons
- typical lattice parameters:
 - $\star \quad L/a = 32, 48, 64, 80, 96, 128$
 - $\star \quad a \in [0.04, 0.15] \text{ fm}$
 - $\star \quad L \in [2,10] \,\, \mathrm{fm}$
 - $\star \quad m_{\pi}L \geq 3-4$
 - $\star \Rightarrow \infty$ -dim path integral $\rightarrow 10^8 10^9$ -dim integral
- Monte Carlo simulations to evaluate the discretized path integral
- feasible, but still requires huge computational resources of $\mathcal{O}(1-1000)$ million core-hours, depending on the question asked
- formally, evaluation of a thermodynamic expectation value with respect to the Boltzmann factor $e^{-S_{\rm QCD}}$
- lattice regulates IR and UV divergences; the regulator needs to be removed $\Rightarrow L \to \infty$, $a \to 0$









- needed because of non-perturbative aspects of QCD
- allows for a quantitative *ab initio* study of QCD
- QCD d.o.f.'s put on a **Euclidean** lattice
 - $\star \quad \mathsf{quarks} \to \mathsf{sites}$
 - $\star \quad \mathsf{gluons} \to \mathsf{links}$
- various discretizations can be used for quarks and gluons
- typical lattice parameters:
 - $\star L/a = 32, 48, 64, 80, 96, 128$
 - $\star \quad a \in [0.04, 0.15] \text{ fm}$
 - $\star \quad L \in [2,10] \,\, \mathrm{fm}$
 - $\star \quad m_{\pi}L \ge 3-4$
 - $\star \ \Rightarrow \infty\text{-dim}$ path integral $\rightarrow 10^8 10^9\text{-dim}$ integral
- Monte Carlo simulations to evaluate the discretized path integral
- feasible, but still requires huge computational resources of $\mathcal{O}(1-1000)$ million core-hours, depending on the question asked
- formally, evaluation of a thermodynamic expectation value with respect to the Boltzmann factor $e^{-S_{\rm QCD}}$
- lattice regulates IR and UV divergences; the regulator needs to be removed $\Rightarrow L \to \infty$, $a \to 0$
- prior to regulator removal (non-perturbative) renormalization







- needed because of non-perturbative aspects of QCD
- allows for a quantitative *ab initio* study of QCD
- QCD d.o.f.'s put on a **Euclidean** lattice
 - $\star \quad \mathsf{quarks} \to \mathsf{sites}$
 - $\star \quad \mathsf{gluons} \to \mathsf{links}$
- various discretizations can be used for quarks and gluons
- typical lattice parameters:
 - $\star L/a = 32, 48, 64, 80, 96, 128$
 - $\star \quad a \in [0.04, 0.15] \text{ fm}$
 - $\star \quad L \in [2,10] \,\, \mathrm{fm}$
 - $\star \quad m_{\pi}L \geq 3-4$
 - $\star \ \ \Rightarrow \infty\text{-dim}$ path integral $\rightarrow 10^8 10^9\text{-dim}$ integral
- Monte Carlo simulations to evaluate the discretized path integral
- feasible, but still requires huge computational resources of $\mathcal{O}(1-1000)$ million core-hours, depending on the question asked
- formally, evaluation of a thermodynamic expectation value with respect to the Boltzmann factor $e^{-S_{\rm QCD}}$
- lattice regulates IR and UV divergences; the regulator needs to be removed $\Rightarrow L \to \infty$, $a \to 0$
- prior to regulator removal (non-perturbative) renormalization
- key aspect: control over various systematic effects









- needed because of non-perturbative aspects of QCD
- allows for a quantitative *ab initio* study of QCD
- QCD d.o.f.'s put on a **Euclidean** lattice
 - $\star \quad \mathsf{quarks} \to \mathsf{sites}$
 - $\star \quad \mathsf{gluons} \to \mathsf{links}$
- various discretizations can be used for quarks and gluons
- typical lattice parameters:
 - $\star L/a = 32, 48, 64, 80, 96, 128$
 - $\star \quad a \in [0.04, 0.15] \text{ fm}$
 - $\star \quad L \in [2,10] \,\, \mathrm{fm}$
 - $\star \quad m_{\pi}L \geq 3-4$
 - $\star \ \ \Rightarrow \infty\text{-dim}$ path integral $\rightarrow 10^8 10^9\text{-dim}$ integral
- Monte Carlo simulations to evaluate the discretized path integral
- feasible, but still requires huge computational resources of O(1 1000) million core-hours, depending on the question asked
- formally, evaluation of a thermodynamic expectation value with respect to the Boltzmann factor $e^{-S_{\rm QCD}}$
- lattice regulates IR and UV divergences; the regulator needs to be removed $\Rightarrow L \rightarrow \infty$, $a \rightarrow 0$
- prior to regulator removal (non-perturbative) renormalization
- key aspect: control over various systematic effects
- \Rightarrow exploratory studies vs. precision studies











• The common feature of all the approaches is that they rely to some extent on the factorization framework:

$$Q(x,\mu_R) = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{dy}{y} C\left(\frac{x}{y},\mu_F,\mu_R\right) q(y,\mu_F),$$
 some lattice observable

Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 6 / 29





• The common feature of all the approaches is that they rely to some extent on the factorization framework:

$$Q(x,\mu_R) = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dy}{y} C\left(\frac{x}{y},\mu_F,\mu_R\right) q(y,\mu_F),$$
 some lattice observable

- Two classes of approaches:
 - \star generalizations of light-cone functions; direct *x*-dependence,
 - * hadronic tensor; decomposition into structure functions.




• The common feature of all the approaches is that they rely to some extent on the factorization framework:

$$Q(x,\mu_R) = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dy}{y} C\left(\frac{x}{y},\mu_F,\mu_R\right) q(y,\mu_F),$$
 some lattice observable

- Two classes of approaches:
 - \star generalizations of light-cone functions; direct *x*-dependence,
 - * hadronic tensor; decomposition into structure functions.
- Matrix elements: $\langle N | \bar{\psi}(z) \Gamma F(z) \Gamma' \psi(0) | N \rangle$ with different choices of Γ, Γ' Dirac structures and objects F(z).
 - * hadronic tensor K.-F. Liu, S.-J. Dong, 1993
 - * auxiliary scalar quark U. Aglietti et al., 1998
 - * auxiliary heavy quark W. Detmold, C.-J. D. Lin, 2005
 - * auxiliary light quark V. Braun, D. Müller, 2007
 - * quasi-distributions X. Ji, 2013
 - * "good lattice cross sections" Y.-Q. Ma, J.-W. Qiu, 2014,2017
 - * pseudo-distributions A. Radyushkin, 2017
 - * "OPE without OPE" QCDSF, 2017

Overview of results from different approaches





Overview of results from different approaches





Review Article

A Guide to Light-Cone PDFs from Lattice QCD: An Overview of Approaches, Techniques, and Results

Krzysztof Cichy ¹ and Martha Constantinou ²

¹Faculty of Physics, Adam Mickiewicz University, Umultowska 85, 61-614 Poznań, Poland ²Department of Physics, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19122 - 1801, USA

Adv. High Energy Phys. 2019 (2019) 3036904 arXiv:1811.07248

Special issue Transverse Momentum Dependent Observables from Low to High Energy: Factorization, Evolution, and Global Analyses

discusses in detail quasi-distributions reviews also other approaches

Overview of results from different approaches





Review Article

A Guide to Light-Cone PDFs from Lattice QCD: An Overview of Approaches, Techniques, and Results

Krzysztof Cichy ¹ and Martha Constantinou ²

¹Faculty of Physics, Adam Mickiewicz University, Umultowska 85, 61-614 Poznań, Poland ²Department of Physics, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19122 - 1801, USA

Adv. High Energy Phys. 2019 (2019) 3036904 arXiv:1811.07248

Special issue Transverse Momentum Dependent Observables from Low to High Energy: Factorization, Evolution, and Global Analyses

discusses in detail quasi-distributions reviews also other approaches

Recent update: M. Constantinou, proceedings of (would-be) plenary talk of LATTICE 2020, arXiv: 2010.02445 The x-dependence of hadronic parton distributions: A review on the progress of lattice QCD





X. Ji, Parton Physics on a Euclidean Lattice, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 262002





X. Ji, Parton Physics on a Euclidean Lattice, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 262002

Main idea:







X. Ji, Parton Physics on a Euclidean Lattice, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 262002



Correlation along the ξ^- -direction: $q(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\xi^- e^{-ixp^+\xi^-} \langle N | \overline{\psi}(\xi^-) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(\xi^-, 0) \psi(0) | N \rangle$ $|N\rangle - \text{nucleon at rest in the light-cone frame}$





X. Ji, Parton Physics on a Euclidean Lattice, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 262002







X. Ji, Parton Physics on a Euclidean Lattice, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 262002

Main idea: ξ^{-} ξ^{-} ξ^{+} $\xi^{3} \equiv z$

Correlation along the ξ^- -direction: $q(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\xi^- e^{-ixp^+\xi^-} \langle N | \overline{\psi}(\xi^-) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(\xi^-, 0) \psi(0) | N \rangle$ $|N \rangle$ – nucleon at rest in the light-cone frame Correlation along the $\xi^3 \equiv z$ -direction: $\tilde{q}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dz \, e^{ixP_3z} \langle N | \overline{\psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(z, 0) \psi(0) | N \rangle$ $|N \rangle$ – nucleon at rest in the standard frame Correlation along the ξ^3 -direction: $\tilde{q}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dz \, e^{ixP_3z} \langle P | \overline{\psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(z, 0) \psi(0) | P \rangle$

|P
angle – boosted nucleon





X. Ji, Parton Physics on a Euclidean Lattice, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 262002



Matching (Large Momentum Effective Theory (LaMET) X. Ji, Parton Physics from Large-Momentum Effective Field Theory, Sci.China Phys.Mech.Astron. 57 (2014) 1407 \rightarrow brings quasi-distribution to the light-cone distribution, up to power-suppressed effects:

$$\tilde{q}(x,\mu,P_3) = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{dy}{|y|} C\left(\frac{x}{y},\frac{\mu}{P_3}\right) q(y,\mu) + \mathcal{O}\left(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2/P_3^2, M_N^2/P_3^2\right)$$





X. Ji, Parton Physics on a Euclidean Lattice, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 262002



Matching (Large Momentum Effective Theory (LaMET) X. Ji, Parton Physics from Large-Momentum Effective Field Theory, Sci.China Phys.Mech.Astron. 57 (2014) 1407

 \rightarrow brings quasi-distribution to the light-cone distribution, up to power-suppressed effects:

$$\tilde{q}(x,\mu,P_3) = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{dy}{|y|} C\left(\frac{x}{y},\frac{\mu}{P_3}\right) q(y,\mu) + \mathcal{O}\left(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2/P_3^2, M_N^2/P_3^2\right)$$
quasi-PDF





X. Ji, Parton Physics on a Euclidean Lattice, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 262002



Matching (Large Momentum Effective Theory (LaMET)
 X. Ji, Parton Physics from Large-Momentum Effective Field Theory, Sci.China Phys.Mech.Astron. 57 (2014) 1407
 → brings quasi-distribution to the light-cone distribution, up to power-suppressed effects:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{q}(x,\mu,P_3) &= \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dy}{|y|} C\left(\frac{x}{y},\frac{\mu}{P_3}\right) q(y,\mu) + \mathcal{O}\left(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2/P_3^2, M_N^2/P_3^2\right) \\ \text{quasi-PDF} & \text{PDF} \end{split}$$





X. Ji, Parton Physics on a Euclidean Lattice, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 262002



Matching (Large Momentum Effective Theory (LaMET)
 X. Ji, Parton Physics from Large-Momentum Effective Field Theory, Sci.China Phys.Mech.Astron. 57 (2014) 1407
 → brings quasi-distribution to the light-cone distribution, up to power-suppressed effects:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{q}(x,\mu,P_3) &= \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dy}{|y|} C\left(\frac{x}{y},\frac{\mu}{P_3}\right) q(y,\mu) + \mathcal{O}\left(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2/P_3^2, M_N^2/P_3^2\right) \\ \text{quasi-PDF} & \text{pert.kernel} \quad \text{PDF} \end{split}$$



duasi-PDF



Quasi-distribution approach:

X. Ji, Parton Physics on a Euclidean Lattice, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 262002

pert.kernel



Matching (Large Momentum Effective Theory (LaMET) X. Ji, Parton Physics from Large-Momentum Effective Field Theory, Sci.China Phys.Mech.Astron. 57 (2014) 1407 \rightarrow brings quasi-distribution to the light-cone distribution, up to power-suppressed effects: $\tilde{q}(x,\mu,P_3) = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{dy}{|y|} C\left(\frac{x}{y},\frac{\mu}{P_3}\right) q(y,\mu) + O\left(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2/P_3^2, M_N^2/P_3^2\right)$

Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 8 / 29

higher-twist effects





The matrix elements $\langle P | \overline{\psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(z,0) \psi(0) | P \rangle$ that are the basis for the quasi-distribution approach can also be used to define pseudo-distributions.

A. Radyushkin, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 034025





The matrix elements $\langle P | \overline{\psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(z,0) \psi(0) | P \rangle$ that are the basis for the **quasi-distribution** approach can also be used to define pseudo-distributions. A. Radyushkin, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 034025

- Matrix elements treated as functions of 2 Lorentz invariants:
 - \star z^2 square of the Wilson line length
 - * $\nu \equiv zP_3$ "loffe time" (hence: loffe-time distributions (ITDs))





The matrix elements $\langle P | \overline{\psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(z,0) \psi(0) | P \rangle$ that are the basis for the **quasi-distribution** approach can also be used to define pseudo-distributions. A. Radyushkin, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 034025

- Matrix elements treated as functions of 2 Lorentz invariants:
 - \star z^2 square of the Wilson line length
 - * $\nu \equiv zP_3$ "loffe time" (hence: loffe-time distributions (ITDs))
- Difference: renormalization with a double ratio:

 $\mathfrak{M}(\nu, z^2) = \frac{\mathcal{M}(\nu, z^2) / \mathcal{M}(\nu, 0)}{\mathcal{M}(0, z^2) / \mathcal{M}(0, 0)}$

• $\mathfrak{M}(\nu, z^2)$ – "reduced" matrix elements (or pseudo-ITDs).





The matrix elements $\langle P | \overline{\psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(z,0) \psi(0) | P \rangle$ that are the basis for the quasi-distribution approach can also be used to define pseudo-distributions.

A. Radyushkin, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 034025

- Matrix elements treated as functions of 2 Lorentz invariants:
 - \star z^2 square of the Wilson line length
 - * $\nu \equiv zP_3$ "loffe time" (hence: loffe-time distributions (ITDs))
- Difference: renormalization with a double ratio:

 $\mathfrak{M}(\nu, z^2) = \frac{\mathcal{M}(\nu, z^2) / \mathcal{M}(\nu, 0)}{\mathcal{M}(0, z^2) / \mathcal{M}(0, 0)}$

• $\mathfrak{M}(\nu, z^2)$ – "reduced" matrix elements (or pseudo-ITDs).







The matrix elements $\langle P|\overline{\psi}(z)\Gamma \mathcal{A}(z,0)\psi(0)|P\rangle$ that are the basis for the quasi-distribution approach can also be used to define pseudo-distributions.

A. Radyushkin, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 034025



Evolved from different scales 1/z to a common scale μ and scheme-converted to $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$





x-dependence

F.T. in ν

spatial correlation in a boosted nucleon

light-cone PDF

in x-space



A. Radyushkin, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 034025

 $\langle N(P_3) | \overline{\psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(z,0) \psi(0) | N(P_3) \rangle$ QUASI **PSEUDO** Matrix elements treated as functions of 2 Lorentz invariants: renormalization renormalization \star z^2 – square of the Wilson line length RI scheme (,other?) ratios (,other?) $\star \nu \equiv zP_3$ – "loffe time" (hence: loffe-time distributions (ITDs)) reconstruction of matching to light cone *x*-dependence in ν -space F.T. in zDifference: renormalization with a double ratio: reconstruction of matching to light cone

 $\mathfrak{M}(\nu, z^2) = \frac{\mathcal{M}(\nu, z^2) \, / \, \mathcal{M}(\nu, 0)}{\mathcal{M}(0, z^2) \, / \, \mathcal{M}(0, 0)}$

- $\mathfrak{M}(\nu, z^2)$ "reduced" matrix elements (or pseudo-ITDs).
- Evolved from different scales 1/z to a common scale μ and scheme-converted to $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$
- The above steps ("matching") lead to the light-cone ITD $Q(\nu, \mu^2)$





The matrix elements $\langle P|\overline{\psi}(z)\Gamma \mathcal{A}(z,0)\psi(0)|P\rangle$ that are the basis for the quasi-distribution approach can also be used to define pseudo-distributions.

A. Radyushkin, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 034025

- Matrix elements treated as functions of 2 Lorentz invariants:
 - \star z^2 square of the Wilson line length
 - $\star \nu \equiv zP_3$ "loffe time" (hence: loffe-time distributions (ITDs))
- Difference: renormalization with a double ratio: $\mathcal{M}(\nu, z^2) / \mathcal{M}(\nu, 0)$ 21 $\mathfrak{M}(\iota$

$$\left(\nu, z^2\right) = \frac{\mathcal{M}\left(\nu, z^2\right) / \mathcal{M}\left(\nu, v^2\right)}{\mathcal{M}\left(0, z^2\right) / \mathcal{M}\left(0, 0\right)}$$

- $\mathfrak{M}(\nu, z^2)$ "reduced" matrix elements (or pseudo-ITDs).
- Evolved from different scales 1/z to a common scale μ and scheme-converted to MS
- The above steps ("matching") lead to the light-cone ITD $Q(\nu, \mu^2)$
- Thus, ITDs are Fourier-conjugate to PDFs: $Q(\nu, \mu^2) = \int_{-1}^{1} dx \, e^{i\nu x} q(x, \mu^2)$







The matrix elements $\langle P | \overline{\psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(z,0) \psi(0) | P \rangle$ that are the basis for the quasi-distribution approach can also be used to define pseudo-distributions.

A. Radyushkin, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 034025

- Matrix elements treated as functions of 2 Lorentz invariants:
 - \star z^2 square of the Wilson line length
 - * $\nu \equiv zP_3$ "loffe time" (hence: loffe-time distributions (ITDs))
- Difference: renormalization with a double ratio: $\mathfrak{M}(\nu, z^2) = \frac{\mathcal{M}(\nu, z^2) / \mathcal{M}(\nu, 0)}{\mathcal{M}(\nu, 0)}$

$$(\nu, z^{-}) = \frac{\overline{\mathcal{M}(0, z^{2})} / \mathcal{M}(0, 0)}{\mathcal{M}(0, 0)}$$

- $\mathfrak{M}(\nu, z^2)$ "reduced" matrix elements (or pseudo-ITDs).
- Evolved from different scales 1/z to a common scale μ and scheme-converted to $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$
- The above steps ("matching") lead to the light-cone ITD $Q(\nu, \mu^2)$
- Thus, ITDs are Fourier-conjugate to PDFs: $Q(\nu, \mu^2) = \int_{-1}^{1} dx \, e^{i\nu x} q(x, \mu^2)$
- Real part related to the $q_v = q \bar{q}$ distribution: $\operatorname{Re} Q(\nu, \mu^2) = \int_0^1 dx \cos(\nu x) q_v(x, \mu^2)$







The matrix elements $\langle P | \overline{\psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(z,0) \psi(0) | P \rangle$ that are the basis for the quasi-distribution approach can also be used to define pseudo-distributions.

A. Radyushkin, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 034025

- Matrix elements treated as functions of 2 Lorentz invariants:
 - \star z^2 square of the Wilson line length
 - * $\nu \equiv zP_3$ "loffe time" (hence: loffe-time distributions (ITDs))
- Difference: renormalization with a double ratio: $\mathfrak{M}(\nu, z^2) = \frac{\mathcal{M}(\nu, z^2) / \mathcal{M}(\nu, 0)}{\mathcal{M}(\nu, 0)}$

$$(\nu, z^2) = \frac{\mathcal{M}(\nu, z^2) / \mathcal{M}(\nu, 0)}{\mathcal{M}(0, z^2) / \mathcal{M}(0, 0)}$$

- $\mathfrak{M}(\nu, z^2)$ "reduced" matrix elements (or pseudo-ITDs).
- Evolved from different scales 1/z to a common scale μ and scheme-converted to $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$
- The above steps ("matching") lead to the light-cone ITD $Q(\nu, \mu^2)$
- Thus, ITDs are Fourier-conjugate to PDFs: $Q(\nu, \mu^2) = \int_{-1}^{1} dx \, e^{i\nu x} q(x, \mu^2)$
- Real part related to the $q_v = q \bar{q}$ distribution: $\operatorname{Re} Q(\nu, \mu^2) = \int_0^1 dx \cos(\nu x) q_v(x, \mu^2)$
- Imaginary part related to the $q_{v2s} = q + \bar{q}$ distribution: $\text{Im } Q(\nu, \mu^2) = \int_0^1 dx \sin(\nu x) q_{v2s}(x, \mu^2)$







The matrix elements $\langle P|\overline{\psi}(z)\Gamma \mathcal{A}(z,0)\psi(0)|P\rangle$ that are the basis for the quasi-distribution approach can also be used to define pseudo-distributions.

A. Radyushkin, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 034025

- Matrix elements treated as functions of 2 Lorentz invariants:
 - \star z^2 square of the Wilson line length
 - $\star \nu \equiv zP_3$ "loffe time" (hence: loffe-time distributions (ITDs))
- Difference: renormalization with a double ratio: $\mathcal{M}(\nu, z^2) / \mathcal{M}(\nu, 0)$ 21 $\mathfrak{M}(\iota$

$$(\nu, z^2) = \frac{\mathcal{M}(\nu, z^2) / \mathcal{M}(\nu, 0)}{\mathcal{M}(0, z^2) / \mathcal{M}(0, 0)}$$

- $\mathfrak{M}(\nu, z^2)$ "reduced" matrix elements (or pseudo-ITDs).
- Evolved from different scales 1/z to a common scale μ and scheme-converted to $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$
- The above steps ("matching") lead to the light-cone ITD $Q(\nu, \mu^2)$
- Thus, ITDs are Fourier-conjugate to PDFs: $Q(\nu, \mu^2) = \int_{-1}^{1} dx \, e^{i\nu x} q(x, \mu^2)$
- Real part related to the $q_v = q \bar{q}$ distribution: $\operatorname{Re} Q(\nu, \mu^2) = \int_0^1 dx \cos(\nu x) q_v(x, \mu^2)$
- Imaginary part related to the $q_{v2s} = q + \bar{q}$ distribution: $\operatorname{Im} Q(\nu, \mu^2) = \int_0^1 dx \sin(\nu x) q_{v2s}(x, \mu^2)$
- Important: inverse problem! J. Karpie, K. Orginos, A. Rothkopf, S. Zafeiropoulos, JHEP 04 (2019) 057







Lattice setup



Collaboratio

Outline of the talk

Lattice PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Lattice setup

PDFs

Lattice and pheno

Twist-3

Quasi-GPDs

Summary

- fermions: $N_f = 2$ twisted mass fermions + clover term
- gluons: Iwasaki gauge action, $\beta = 2.1$
- gauge field configurations generated by ETMC

$\beta {=} 2.10$,	$c_{\rm SW} = 1.57751$,	$a{=}0.0938(3)(2) \text{ fm}$
$48^3 \times 96$	$a\mu = 0.0009 m_N$	$= 0.932(4) {\rm GeV}$
$L = 4.5 \mathrm{fm}$	$m_{\pi} = 0.1304(4) \mathrm{Ge}$	eV $m_{\pi}L = 2.98(1)$

P_3	P_3 [GeV]	$N_{ m confs}$	$N_{\rm meas}$
0	0	20	320
$2\pi/L$	0.28	19	1824
$4\pi/L$	0.55	18	1728
$6\pi/L$	0.83	50	4800
$8\pi/L$	1.11	425	38250
$10\pi/L$	1.38	811	72990





Reduced, evolved and matched ITDs







Reconstructed PDFs









Final PDFs with systematics





Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 13 / 29



Light-cone PDFs from pseudo and quasi







Comparison with JLab









• Factorization relates experimental cross sections to PDFs.





- Factorization relates experimental cross sections to PDFs.
- Similarly: factorization relates lattice observables to PDFs, e.g.:

$$\tilde{q}(x,\mu,P_3) = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|} C\left(\frac{x}{\xi},\mu,P_3\right) q(x,\mu)$$





- Factorization relates experimental cross sections to PDFs.
- Similarly: factorization relates lattice observables to PDFs, e.g.:

$$\tilde{q}(x,\mu,P_3) = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|} C\left(\frac{x}{\xi},\mu,P_3\right) q(x,\mu)$$

• Question: can we treat lattice observables similarly to cross sections?





- Factorization relates experimental cross sections to PDFs.
- Similarly: factorization relates lattice observables to PDFs, e.g.:

$$\tilde{q}(x,\mu,P_3) = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|} C\left(\frac{x}{\xi},\mu,P_3\right) q(x,\mu)$$

- Question: can we treat lattice observables similarly to cross sections?
- Recent attempt to learn something about this question: K.C., L. Del Debbio, T. Giani, JHEP 10 (2019) 137





- Factorization relates experimental cross sections to PDFs.
- Similarly: factorization relates lattice observables to PDFs, e.g.:

$$\tilde{q}(x,\mu,P_3) = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|} C\left(\frac{x}{\xi},\mu,P_3\right) q(x,\mu)$$

- Question: can we treat lattice observables similarly to cross sections?
- Recent attempt to learn something about this question: K.C., L. Del Debbio, T. Giani, JHEP 10 (2019) 137
- Using the robust NNPDF framework for fitting.





- Factorization relates experimental cross sections to PDFs.
- Similarly: factorization relates lattice observables to PDFs, e.g.:

$$\tilde{q}(x,\mu,P_3) = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|} C\left(\frac{x}{\xi},\mu,P_3\right) q(x,\mu)$$

- Question: can we treat lattice observables similarly to cross sections?
- Recent attempt to learn something about this question:
 K.C., L. Del Debbio, T. Giani, JHEP 10 (2019) 137
- Using the robust NNPDF framework for fitting.
- Observables: non-singlet distributions V_3 and T_3 (unpolarized): $V_3 = u - \bar{u} - (d - \bar{d}) = u_V - d_V$ $T_3 = u + \bar{u} - (d + \bar{d}) = u_V - d_V + 2(u_S - d_S)$




- Factorization relates experimental cross sections to PDFs.
- Similarly: factorization relates lattice observables to PDFs, e.g.:

$$\tilde{q}(x,\mu,P_3) = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|} C\left(\frac{x}{\xi},\mu,P_3\right) q(x,\mu)$$

- Question: can we treat lattice observables similarly to cross sections?
- Recent attempt to learn something about this question: K.C., L. Del Debbio, T. Giani, JHEP 10 (2019) 137
- Using the robust NNPDF framework for fitting.
- Observables: non-singlet distributions V_3 and T_3 (unpolarized): $V_3 = u - \bar{u} - (d - \bar{d}) = u_V - d_V$ $T_3 = u + \bar{u} - (d + \bar{d}) = u_V - d_V + 2(u_S - d_S)$
- We have:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\gamma^0}^{\mathsf{Re/Im}}(z,\mu) = \int_0^1 dx \, \mathcal{C}_3^{\mathsf{Re/Im}}\!\left(x,z,\frac{\mu}{P_z}\right) V_3/T_3\left(x,\mu\right) = \mathcal{C}_3^{\mathsf{Re/Im}}\!\left(z,\frac{\mu}{P_z}\right) \circledast V_3/T_3\left(\mu\right),$$

Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 16 / 29





- Factorization relates experimental cross sections to PDFs.
- Similarly: factorization relates lattice observables to PDFs, e.g.:

$$\tilde{q}(x,\mu,P_3) = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|} C\left(\frac{x}{\xi},\mu,P_3\right) q(x,\mu)$$

- Question: can we treat lattice observables similarly to cross sections?
- Recent attempt to learn something about this question: K.C., L. Del Debbio, T. Giani, JHEP 10 (2019) 137
- Using the robust NNPDF framework for fitting.
- Observables: non-singlet distributions V_3 and T_3 (unpolarized): $V_3 = u - \bar{u} - (d - \bar{d}) = u_V - d_V$ $T_3 = u + \bar{u} - (d + \bar{d}) = u_V - d_V + 2(u_S - d_S)$
- We have: $\mathcal{O}_{\gamma^0}^{\mathsf{Re/Im}}(z,\mu) = \int_0^1 dx \, \mathcal{C}_3^{\mathsf{Re/Im}}\left(x,z,\frac{\mu}{P_z}\right) V_3/T_3\left(x,\mu\right) = \mathcal{C}_3^{\mathsf{Re/Im}}\left(z,\frac{\mu}{P_z}\right) \circledast V_3/T_3\left(\mu\right),$
- The above equations implemented using FastKernel tables that combine the matching and DGLAP evolution.





- Factorization relates experimental cross sections to PDFs.
- Similarly: factorization relates lattice observables to PDFs, e.g.:

$$\tilde{q}(x,\mu,P_3) = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|} C\left(\frac{x}{\xi},\mu,P_3\right) q(x,\mu)$$

- Question: can we treat lattice observables similarly to cross sections?
- Recent attempt to learn something about this question: K.C., L. Del Debbio, T. Giani, JHEP 10 (2019) 137
- Using the robust NNPDF framework for fitting.
- Observables: non-singlet distributions V_3 and T_3 (unpolarized): $V_3 = u - \bar{u} - (d - \bar{d}) = u_V - d_V$ $T_3 = u + \bar{u} - (d + \bar{d}) = u_V - d_V + 2(u_S - d_S)$
- We have: $\mathcal{O}_{\gamma^0}^{\mathsf{Re/Im}}(z,\mu) = \int_0^1 dx \, \mathcal{C}_3^{\mathsf{Re/Im}}\!\left(x,z,\frac{\mu}{P_z}\right) V_3/T_3\left(x,\mu\right) = \mathcal{C}_3^{\mathsf{Re/Im}}\!\left(z,\frac{\mu}{P_z}\right) \circledast V_3/T_3\left(\mu\right),$
- The above equations implemented using FastKernel tables that combine the matching and DGLAP evolution.
- NN parametrization: $V_3/T_3(x,\mu) \propto x^{\alpha_{V/T}} (1-x)^{\beta_{V/T}} NN_{V/T}(x)$.

Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 16 / 29





- Exercise: generate pseudo data from a selected NNPDF and run fitting code over them.
- 16 "lattice points" generated (16 real, 15 imaginary)





- Exercise: generate pseudo data from a selected NNPDF and run fitting code over them.
- 16 "lattice points" generated (16 real, 15 imaginary)
- Test different scenarios: K.C., L. Del Debbio, T. Giani, JHEP 10 (2019) 137









- Exercise: generate pseudo data from a selected NNPDF and run fitting code over them.
- 16 "lattice points" generated (16 real, 15 imaginary)
- Test different scenarios:



Very robust result!

K.C., L. Del Debbio, T. Giani, JHEP 10 (2019) 137

- pseudo data: 1. DGLAP evolution 1.65→2 GeV 2. inverse matching 3. inverse Fourier reconstruction:
- reconstruction: 1. NN fit 2. matching 3. DGLAP evolution $2 \rightarrow 1.65$ GeV

only error of NNPDF

Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 17 / 29





- Exercise: generate pseudo data from a selected NNPDF and run fitting code over them.
- 16 "lattice points" generated (16 real, 15 imaginary)
- Test different scenarios:



K.C., L. Del Debbio, T. Giani, JHEP 10 (2019) 137



of the convolution * in constraining PDFs! (only 16 lat. points!)

See also: J.Karpie et al., JHEP04(2019)057





- Exercise: generate pseudo data from a selected NNPDF and run fitting code over them.
- 16 "lattice points" generated (16 real, 15 imaginary)
- Test different scenarios:
- K.C., L. Del Debbio, T. Giani, JHEP 10 (2019) 137





Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 17 / 29



Fitting actual lattice data



• We also took actual ETMC lattice data for the unpolarized case and used the NNPDF framework to calculate the resulting V_3 and T_3 distributions.





- We also took actual ETMC lattice data for the unpolarized case and used the NNPDF framework to calculate the resulting V_3 and T_3 distributions.
- We took actual statistical errors and considered different scenarios for systematics:

Scenario	Cut-off	FVE	Excited states	Truncation
S1	10%	2.5%	5%	10%
S 2	20%	5%	10%	20%
S 3	30%	$e^{-3+0.062z/a}\%$	15%	30%
S 4	0.1	0.025	0.05	0.1
S 5	0.2	0.05	0.1	0.2
S6	0.3	$e^{-3+0.062z/a}$	0.15	0.3





- We also took actual ETMC lattice data for the unpolarized case and used the NNPDF framework to calculate the resulting V_3 and T_3 distributions.
- We took actual statistical errors and considered different scenarios for systematics:

Scenario	Cut-off	FVE	Excited states	Truncation]
S1	10%	2.5%	5%	10%	
S2	20%	5%	10%	20%	
S 3	30%	$e^{-3+0.062z/a}\%$	15%	30%	
S 4	0.1	0.025	0.05	0.1	
S 5	0.2	0.05	0.1	0.2	
S6	0.3	$e^{-3+0.062z/a}$	0.15	0.3	
F	Results fro	, K. <mark>C., L.</mark> E '): JHEF	Del Debbio, T. Giani P 10 (2019) 137		



Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 18 / 29





- We also took actual ETMC lattice data for the unpolarized case and used the NNPDF framework to calculate the resulting V_3 and T_3 distributions.
- We took actual statistical errors and considered different scenarios for systematics:

Scenario	Cut-off	FVE	Excited states	Truncation]
S1	10%	2.5%	5%	10%	
S2	20%	5%	10%	20%	
S 3	30%	$e^{-3+0.062z/a}\%$	15%	30%	
S 4	0.1	0.025	0.05	0.1	
S 5	0.2	0.05	0.1	0.2	
S 6	0.3	$e^{-3+0.062z/a}$	0.15	0.3	
F	Results fro	, K. <mark>C., L.</mark> E '): JHEF	Del Debbio, T. Giani P 10 (2019) 137		



Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 18 / 29





Outline of the talk

Lattice PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Lattice and pheno

Twist-3

Intro

Our work

Lattice setup

Results

WW approx

Quasi-GPDs

Summary

PDFs can be classified according to their twist, which describes the order in 1/Q at which they appear in the factorization of structure functions.

Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 19 / 29





Outline of the talk

Lattice PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Lattice and pheno

Twist-3

Intro

Our work

Lattice setup

Results

WW approx

Quasi-GPDs

Summary

PDFs can be classified according to their twist, which describes the order in 1/Q at which they appear in the factorization of structure functions.

Leading twist: twist-2 – probablity densities for finding partons carrying fraction x of the hadron momentum.





Outline of the talk

Lattice PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Lattice and pheno

Twist-3

Intro

Our work

Lattice setup

Results

WW approx

Quasi-GPDs

Summary

PDFs can be classified according to their twist, which describes the order in 1/Q at which they appear in the factorization of structure functions.

Leading twist: twist-2 – probablity densities for finding partons carrying fraction x of the hadron momentum.





Outline of the talk

Lattice PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Lattice and pheno

Twist-3

Intro

Our work

Lattice setup

Results

WW approx

Quasi-GPDs

Summary

PDFs can be classified according to their twist, which describes the order in 1/Q at which they appear in the factorization of structure functions.

Leading twist: twist-2 – probablity densities for finding partons carrying fraction x of the hadron momentum.

Twist-3:

no density interpretation,





Outline of the talk

Lattice PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Lattice and pheno

Twist-3

Intro

Our work

Lattice setup

 $\mathsf{Results}$

WW approx

Quasi-GPDs

Summary

PDFs can be classified according to their twist, which describes the order in 1/Q at which they appear in the factorization of structure functions.

Leading twist: twist-2 – probablity densities for finding partons carrying fraction x of the hadron momentum.

- no density interpretation,
- contain important information about qgq correlations,





Outline of the talk

Lattice PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Lattice and pheno

Twist-3

Intro

Our work

Lattice setup

Results

WW approx

Quasi-GPDs

Summary

PDFs can be classified according to their twist, which describes the order in 1/Q at which they appear in the factorization of structure functions.

Leading twist: twist-2 – probablity densities for finding partons carrying fraction x of the hadron momentum.

- no density interpretation,
- contain important information about qgq correlations,
- appear in QCD factorization theorems for a variety of hard scattering processes,





Outline of the talk

Lattice PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Lattice and pheno

Twist-3

Intro

Our work

Lattice setup

Results

WW approx

Quasi-GPDs

Summary

PDFs can be classified according to their twist, which describes the order in 1/Q at which they appear in the factorization of structure functions.

Leading twist: twist-2 – probablity densities for finding partons carrying fraction x of the hadron momentum.

- no density interpretation,
- contain important information about qgq correlations,
- appear in QCD factorization theorems for a variety of hard scattering processes,
- have interesting connections with TMDs,





Outline of the talk

Lattice PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Lattice and pheno

Twist-3

Intro

Our work

Lattice setup

Results

WW approx

Quasi-GPDs

Summary

PDFs can be classified according to their twist, which describes the order in 1/Q at which they appear in the factorization of structure functions.

Leading twist: twist-2 – probablity densities for finding partons carrying fraction x of the hadron momentum.

- no density interpretation,
- contain important information about qgq correlations,
- appear in QCD factorization theorems for a variety of hard scattering processes,
- have interesting connections with TMDs,
- important for JLab's 12 GeV program + for EIC,





Outline of the talk

Lattice PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Lattice and pheno

Twist-3

Intro

Our work

Lattice setup

Results

WW approx

Quasi-GPDs

Summary

PDFs can be classified according to their twist, which describes the order in 1/Q at which they appear in the factorization of structure functions.

Leading twist: twist-2 – probablity densities for finding partons carrying fraction x of the hadron momentum.

Twist-3:

- no density interpretation,
- contain important information about qgq correlations,
- appear in QCD factorization theorems for a variety of hard scattering processes,
- have interesting connections with TMDs,
- important for JLab's 12 GeV program + for EIC,
- however, measurements difficult due to their suppressed $\mathcal{O}(1/Q)$ kinematical behavior.

Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 19 / 29





Our work:

Outline of the talk

Lattice PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Lattice and pheno

Twist-3

Intro

Our work

Lattice setup

Results

WW approx

Quasi-GPDs

Summary





Our work:

Outline of the talk

Lattice PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Lattice and pheno

Twist-3

Intro

Our work

Lattice setup

Results

WW approx

Quasi-GPDs

Summary

matching for twist-3 helicity $g_T(x)$ proved factorization at 1-loop extracted the matching coefficient between quasi and light-cone

S. Bhattacharya et al., Phys. Rev. D102 (2020) 034005



Outline of the talk

Lattice and pheno

Lattice PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

<u>Twist-3</u> Intro

Our work

Results

Lattice setup

WW approx

Quasi-GPDs

Summary

Twist-3 PDFs

Jan State Contraction of the state of the st

Our work:

- matching for twist-3 helicity $g_T(x)$ proved factorization at 1-loop extracted the matching coefficient between quasi and light-cone
 - S. Bhattacharya et al., Phys. Rev. D102 (2020) 034005
- lattice extraction of the isovector combination $g_T^{u-d}(x)$ test of Wandzura-Wilczek (WW) approximation
 - S. Bhattacharya et al., arXiv:2004.04130



Outline of the talk

Lattice and pheno

Lattice PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

<u>Twist-3</u> Intro

Our work

Results

Lattice setup

WW approx

Quasi-GPDs

Summary

Twist-3 PDFs

Colleboration ULAM

Our work:

- matching for twist-3 helicity $g_T(x)$ proved factorization at 1-loop extracted the matching coefficient between quasi and light-cone
 - S. Bhattacharya et al., Phys. Rev. D102 (2020) 034005
- lattice extraction of the isovector combination $g_T^{u-d}(x)$ test of Wandzura-Wilczek (WW) approximation
 - S. Bhattacharya et al., arXiv:2004.04130
 - role of zero-mode contributions for twist-3 transversity $h_L(x)$ and scalar e(x)light-cone and quasi do not fully agree in the infrared breakdown of matching?
 - S. Bhattacharya et al., arXiv:2006.12347

Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD - "Białasówka" Online Seminar - 20 / 29





Lattice matrix element:

Outline of the talk

Lattice PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Lattice and pheno

Twist-3

Intro

Our work

Lattice setup

 $\mathsf{Results}$

WW approx

Quasi-GPDs

Summary

$\mathcal{M}_{g_T}(P,z) = \langle P | \overline{\psi}(0,z) \gamma^j \gamma^5 W(z) \psi(0,0) | P \rangle.$

 $\gamma^j = \gamma^x$ or γ^y





Lattice matrix element:

Outline of the talk

Lattice PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Lattice and pheno

Twist-3

Intro

Our work

Lattice setup

Results

WW approx

Quasi-GPDs

Summary

$\mathcal{M}_{g_T}(P,z) = \langle P | \overline{\psi}(0,z) \gamma^j \gamma^5 W(z) \psi(0,0) | P \rangle.$

 $\gamma^j = \gamma^x \, \operatorname{or} \, \gamma^y$

Lattice setup: S. Bhattacharya et al., arXiv:2004.04130

- fermions: $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ TM fermions + clover term,
- gluons: Iwasaki gauge action, $\beta = 1.778$,
- a=0.081 fm, $m_{\pi} \approx 270$ MeV.
- $32^3 \times 64$, L = 3 fm, $m_{\pi}L = 4$,



Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 21 / 29





Nucleon boost dependence (after matching) (quasi- g_T reconstructed with BG)



Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD - "Białasówka" Online Seminar - 22 / 29





Nucleon boost dependence (after matching) (quasi- g_T reconstructed with BG) Twist-2 g_1 vs. twist-3 g_T (at the largest boost)



Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD - "Białasówka" Online Seminar - 22 / 29





Nucleon boost dependence (after matching) (quasi-g_T reconstructed with BG) Twist-2 g_1 vs. twist-3 g_T (at the largest boost)



Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD - "Białasówka" Online Seminar - 22 / 29





Nucleon boost dependence (after matching) (quasi- g_T reconstructed with BG) Twist-2 g_1 vs. twist-3 g_T (at the largest boost)



Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD - "Białasówka" Online Seminar - 22 / 29





WW approximation: twist-3 $g_T(x)$ fully determined by twist-2 $g_1(x)$: $g_T^{WW}(x) = \int_x^1 \frac{dy}{y} g_1(y)$

Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 23 / 29





WW approximation: twist-3 $g_T(x)$ fully determined by twist-2 $g_1(x)$: $g_T^{WW}(x) = \int_x^1 \frac{dy}{y} g_1(y)$



Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 23 / 29





WW approximation: twist-3 $g_T(x)$ fully determined by twist-2 $g_1(x)$:



Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD - "Białasówka" Online Seminar - 23 / 29





WW approximation: twist-3 $g_T(x)$ fully determined by twist-2 $g_1(x)$:



Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD - "Białasówka" Online Seminar - 23 / 29





WW approximation: twist-3 $g_T(x)$ fully determined by twist-2 $g_1(x)$:



Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD - "Białasówka" Online Seminar - 23 / 29


Wandzura-Wilczek approximation



WW approximation: twist-3 $g_T(x)$ fully determined by twist-2 $g_1(x)$:



Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD - "Białasówka" Online Seminar - 23 / 29





- Parton distribution functions (PDFs) formal definition: $f(x,\mu) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\xi^- e^{-ixp^+\xi^-} \langle P | \overline{\psi}(\xi^-) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(\xi^-,0) \psi(0) | P \rangle$
- Generalized parton distributions (GPDs): $F(x,\xi,t,\mu) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\xi^- e^{-ixp^+\xi^-} \langle P'' | \overline{\psi}(\xi^-) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(\xi^-,0) \psi(0) | P' \rangle$ The only difference: **momentum transfer** i.e. $P'' \neq P'$ (P'' = P' + Q, $t = -Q^2$).





- Parton distribution functions (PDFs) formal definition: $f(x,\mu) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\xi^- e^{-ixp^+\xi^-} \langle P | \overline{\psi}(\xi^-) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(\xi^-,0) \psi(0) | P \rangle$
- Generalized parton distributions (GPDs): $F(x,\xi,t,\mu) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\xi^- e^{-ixp^+\xi^-} \langle P'' | \overline{\psi}(\xi^-) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(\xi^-,0) \psi(0) | P' \rangle$ The only difference: momentum transfer i.e. $P'' \neq P'$ (P'' = P' + Q, $t = -Q^2$).
- GPDs reduce to PDFs in the forward limit, e.g. H(x, 0, 0) = q(x)





- Parton distribution functions (PDFs) formal definition: $f(x,\mu) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\xi^- e^{-ixp^+\xi^-} \langle P | \overline{\psi}(\xi^-) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(\xi^-,0) \psi(0) | P \rangle$
- Generalized parton distributions (GPDs): $F(x,\xi,t,\mu) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\xi^- e^{-ixp^+\xi^-} \langle P'' | \overline{\psi}(\xi^-) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(\xi^-,0) \psi(0) | P' \rangle$ The only difference: momentum transfer i.e. $P'' \neq P'$ (P'' = P' + Q, $t = -Q^2$).
- GPDs reduce to PDFs in the forward limit, e.g. H(x, 0, 0) = q(x)
- Moments of GPDs are form factors, e.g. $\int dx H(x,\xi,t) = F_1(t)$



Generalized parton distributions (GPDs)

- Parton distribution functions (PDFs) formal definition: $f(x,\mu) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\xi^- e^{-ixp^+\xi^-} \langle P | \overline{\psi}(\xi^-) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(\xi^-,0)\psi(0) | P \rangle$
- Generalized parton distributions (GPDs): $F(x,\xi,t,\mu) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\xi^- e^{-ixp^+\xi^-} \langle P'' | \overline{\psi}(\xi^-) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(\xi^-,0) \psi(0) | P' \rangle$ The only difference: **momentum transfer** i.e. $P'' \neq P'$ (P'' = P' + Q, $t = -Q^2$).
- GPDs reduce to PDFs in the forward limit, e.g. H(x, 0, 0) = q(x)
- Moments of GPDs are form factors, e.g. $\int dx H(x,\xi,t) = F_1(t)$
- Experimental access:
 - * PDFs Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS) $ep \longrightarrow eX$







Generalized parton distributions (GPDs)

- Parton distribution functions (PDFs) formal definition: $f(x,\mu) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\xi^- e^{-ixp^+\xi^-} \langle P | \overline{\psi}(\xi^-) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(\xi^-,0) \psi(0) | P \rangle$
- Generalized parton distributions (GPDs): $F(x,\xi,t,\mu) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\xi^- e^{-ixp^+\xi^-} \langle P'' | \overline{\psi}(\xi^-) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(\xi^-,0) \psi(0) | P' \rangle$ The only difference: **momentum transfer** i.e. $P'' \neq P'$ (P'' = P' + Q, $t = -Q^2$).
- GPDs reduce to PDFs in the forward limit, e.g. H(x, 0, 0) = q(x)
- Moments of GPDs are form factors, e.g. $\int dx H(x,\xi,t) = F_1(t)$
- Experimental access:
 - * PDFs Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS) $ep \longrightarrow eX$
 - * GPDs Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering (DVCS) $ep \longrightarrow e'p'\gamma$







- Parton distribution functions (PDFs) formal definition: $f(x,\mu) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\xi^- e^{-ixp^+\xi^-} \langle P | \overline{\psi}(\xi^-) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(\xi^-,0) \psi(0) | P \rangle$
- Generalized parton distributions (GPDs): $F(x,\xi,t,\mu) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\xi^- e^{-ixp^+\xi^-} \langle P'' | \overline{\psi}(\xi^-) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(\xi^-,0) \psi(0) | P' \rangle$ The only difference: **momentum transfer** i.e. $P'' \neq P'$ (P'' = P' + Q, $t = -Q^2$).
- GPDs reduce to PDFs in the forward limit, e.g. H(x, 0, 0) = q(x)
- Moments of GPDs are form factors, e.g. $\int dx H(x,\xi,t) = F_1(t)$
- Experimental access:
 - * PDFs Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS) $ep \longrightarrow eX$
 - * GPDs Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering (DVCS) $ep \longrightarrow e'p'\gamma$

Quasi-GPDs: similar procedure to quasi-PDFs Important new aspect: 2 or 4 GPDs need to be disentangled, e.g. H and E:

 $\mathcal{M}(z,t,\xi;\,\mu_R;\,\Gamma,\overline{\Gamma}) = \mathcal{K}_H(\Gamma,\overline{\Gamma})H(z,t,\xi;\mu_R) + \mathcal{K}_E(\Gamma,\overline{\Gamma})E(z,t,\xi;\mu_R).$











Bare matrix elements



Lattice setup: same as for twist-3

- fermions: $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ TM fermions + clover term,
- gluons: Iwasaki gauge action, $\beta = 1.778$,
- a=0.081 fm, $m_{\pi} \approx 270$ MeV.
- $32^3 \times 64$, L = 3 fm, $m_{\pi}L = 4$,



 $P_3 = 0.83, 1.25, 1.67 \text{ GeV}$ $Q^2 = 0.69 \text{ GeV}^2$



Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD - "Białasówka" Online Seminar - 25 / 29



Disentangled renormalized matrix elements



Lattice setup: same as for twist-3

- fermions: $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ TM fermions + clover term,
- gluons: Iwasaki gauge action, $\beta = 1.778$,
- a=0.081 fm, $m_{\pi} \approx 270$ MeV.
- $32^3 \times 64$, L = 3 fm, $m_{\pi}L = 4$,



 $P_3 = 0.83, 1.25, 1.67 \text{ GeV}$ $Q^2 = 0.69 \text{ GeV}^2$



Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD - "Białasówka" Online Seminar - 26 / 29



After matching: H and E functions



Lattice setup: same as for twist-3

- fermions: $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ TM fermions + clover term,
- gluons: Iwasaki gauge action, $\beta = 1.778$,
- a=0.081 fm, $m_{\pi} \approx 270$ MeV.
- $32^3 \times 64$, L = 3 fm, $m_{\pi}L = 4$,

H-function



 $\begin{array}{l} P_3 = 0.83, 1.25, 1.67 \,\, {\rm GeV} \\ Q^2 = 0.69 \,\, {\rm GeV^2} \\ \xi = 0 \end{array}$

E-function



Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD - "Białasówka" Online Seminar - 27 / 29



Comparison of PDFs and *H*-**GPDs**





Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD - "Białasówka" Online Seminar - 28 / 29



Lattice and pheno

Lattice PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Quasi-GPDs

Summary

Twist-3

Conclusions and prospects



• Message of the talk: enormous progress in lattice calculations of *x*-dependence of partonic functions!

Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD - "Białasówka" Online Seminar - 29 / 29



Conclusions and prospects



 Very encouraging results and already reasonable agreement with pheno for PDFs.

Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 29 / 29

Outline of the talk

Lattice PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Lattice and pheno

Twist-3

Quasi-GPDs

Summary







- Outline of the talk
- Lattice PDFs
- Pseudo-PDFs
- Lattice and pheno
- Twist-3
- Quasi-GPDs
- Summary

- Message of the talk: enormous progress in lattice calculations of x-dependence of partonic functions!
- Very encouraging results and already reasonable agreement with pheno for PDFs.
- However, there are still major challenges:



Conclusions and prospects



- Message of the talk: enormous progress in lattice calculations of *x*-dependence of partonic functions!
- Very encouraging results and already reasonable agreement with pheno for PDFs.
- However, there are still major challenges:
 - ★ perhaps biggest: reliably achieve large nucleon boosts at acceptable cost,

Lattice PDFs Pseudo-PDFs

Lattice and pheno

Outline of the talk

Twist-3

Quasi-GPDs

Summary



Lattice and pheno

Lattice PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Quasi-GPDs

Summary

Twist-3



- Message of the talk: enormous progress in lattice calculations of *x*-dependence of partonic functions!
- Very encouraging results and already reasonable agreement with pheno for PDFs.
- However, there are still major challenges:
 - ★ perhaps biggest: reliably achieve large nucleon boosts at acceptable cost,
 - \star control of several sources of systematics.



Lattice and pheno

Lattice PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Quasi-GPDs

Summary

Twist-3



- Message of the talk: enormous progress in lattice calculations of *x*-dependence of partonic functions!
- Very encouraging results and already reasonable agreement with pheno for PDFs.
- However, there are still major challenges:
 - perhaps biggest: reliably achieve large nucleon boosts at acceptable cost,
 - \star control of several sources of systematics.
- In the future, lattice results can have important impact on phenomenology.



Lattice and pheno

Lattice PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Quasi-GPDs

Summary

Twist-3



- Message of the talk: enormous progress in lattice calculations of *x*-dependence of partonic functions!
- Very encouraging results and already reasonable agreement with pheno for PDFs.
- However, there are still major challenges:
 - ★ perhaps biggest: reliably achieve large nucleon boosts at acceptable cost,
 - \star control of several sources of systematics.
- In the future, lattice results can have important impact on phenomenology.
- Several new directions:



Lattice and pheno

Lattice PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Quasi-GPDs

Summary

Twist-3



- Message of the talk: enormous progress in lattice calculations of *x*-dependence of partonic functions!
- Very encouraging results and already reasonable agreement with pheno for PDFs.
- However, there are still major challenges:
 - ★ perhaps biggest: reliably achieve large nucleon boosts at acceptable cost,
 - \star control of several sources of systematics.
- In the future, lattice results can have important impact on phenomenology.
- Several new directions:
 - \star shown in this talk: twist-3 PDFs and GPDs,



Lattice and pheno

Lattice PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Quasi-GPDs

Summary

Twist-3



- Message of the talk: enormous progress in lattice calculations of *x*-dependence of partonic functions!
- Very encouraging results and already reasonable agreement with pheno for PDFs.
- However, there are still major challenges:
 - ★ perhaps biggest: reliably achieve large nucleon boosts at acceptable cost,
 - \star control of several sources of systematics.
- In the future, lattice results can have important impact on phenomenology.
- Several new directions:
 - \star shown in this talk: twist-3 PDFs and GPDs,
 - * other: singlet quark PDFs, TMDs, gluon PDFs etc.



Lattice and pheno

Lattice PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Quasi-GPDs

Summary

Twist-3

Conclusions and prospects



- Message of the talk: enormous progress in lattice calculations of *x*-dependence of partonic functions!
- Very encouraging results and already reasonable agreement with pheno for PDFs.
- However, there are still major challenges:
 - perhaps biggest: reliably achieve large nucleon boosts at acceptable cost,
 - \star control of several sources of systematics.
- In the future, lattice results can have important impact on phenomenology.
- Several new directions:
 - \star shown in this talk: twist-3 PDFs and GPDs,
 - * other: singlet quark PDFs, TMDs, gluon PDFs etc.

Thank you for your attention!

Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 29 / 29





Lattice PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Lattice and pheno

Twist-3

Quasi-GPDs

Summary

Backup slides

Pseudo-PDFs PDFs

Systematics

Quasi procedure

Choice of boost

Quasi-PDFs

Matching

Fourier

Momentum

dependence

Backup slides

Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 30 / 29



Renormalization from a double ratio



The matrix element $\langle N(P_3) | \overline{\psi}(z) \gamma_0 \mathcal{A}(z,0) \psi(0) | N(P_3) \rangle$ exhibits two kinds of divergences:

- standard logarithmic divergence,
- power divergence related to the Wilson line.

Shown to be multiplicatively renormalizable to all orders in PT T. Ishikawa et al., PRD96(2017)094019, X. Ji et al., PRL120(2017)112001

Both divergences can be canceled by forming a double ratio with zero-momentum and local (z = 0) matrix elements: (also removes part of HTE (generically $O(z^2 \Lambda_{QCD}^2))$)

$$\mathfrak{M}(\nu, z^2) = \frac{\mathcal{M}(\nu, z^2) / \mathcal{M}(\nu, 0)}{\mathcal{M}(0, z^2) / \mathcal{M}(0, 0)}.$$

 $\mathfrak{M}(\nu, z^2)$ – "reduced" matrix elements (or pseudo-ITDs).

The double ratio defines a renormalization scheme with renormalization scale proportional to 1/z.

Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 31 / 29

Outline of the talk

Lattice PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Lattice and pheno

Twist-3

Quasi-GPDs

Summary

```
Backup slides
```

```
Pseudo-PDFs
```

```
PDFs
```

```
Systematics
```

```
Quasi procedure
```

```
Choice of boost
```

```
Quasi-PDFs
```

Matching

Fourier

Momentum

dependence





The reduced matrix elements, $\mathfrak{M}(\nu, z^2)$, defined at different scales 1/z, need to be:

- evolved to a common scale,
- scheme-converted to the $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ scheme $\longrightarrow Q(
 u, \mu^2)$.

The full 1-loop matching equation: A. Radyushkin, PLB781(2018)433, PRD98(2018)014019; J.-H. Zhang et al., PRD97(2018)074508; T. Izubuchi et al., PRD98(2018)056004

$$\mathfrak{M}(\nu, z^2) = Q(\nu, \mu^2) - \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{2\pi} \int_0^1 du \left[\ln\left(z^2 \mu^2 \frac{e^{2\gamma_E + 1}}{4}\right) B(u) + L(u) \right] Q(u\nu, \mu^2)$$

with:

$$B(u) = \left[\frac{1+u^2}{1-u}\right]_+, \qquad L(u) = \left[4\frac{\ln(1-u)}{1-u} - 2(1-u)\right]_+,$$
$$\int_0^1 [f(u)]_+ Q(u\nu) = \int_0^1 f(u) \left(Q(u\nu) - Q(\nu)\right).$$

Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 32 / 29





We invert the matching equation and look separately into the effect of evolution and scheme conversion:

• evolution:

 $\mathfrak{M}'(\nu, z^2, \mu^2) = \mathfrak{M}(\nu, z^2) - \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{2\pi} \int_0^1 du \ln\left(z^2 \mu^2 \frac{e^{2\gamma_E + 1}}{4}\right) B(u) \mathfrak{M}(u\nu, z^2),$ The evolved ITD \mathfrak{M}' has 3 arguments: the loffe time ν , the common scale μ , the initial scale z.

In principle, values should be independent of the initial scale \longrightarrow test this.

• scheme conversion:

 $Q(\nu, z^2, \mu^2) = \mathfrak{M}'(\nu, z^2, \mu^2) - \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{2\pi} \int_0^1 du L(u) \mathfrak{M}(u\nu, z^2).$

Again 3 arguments and test of independence on the initial scale.

For the reconstruction of the final PDF

 \rightarrow average the matched ITDs $Q(\nu, z^2, \mu^2)$ for cases where a given loffe time is achieved by different combinations of (P_3, z) , denote such average by $Q(\nu, \mu^2)$.



Pseudo-PDFs – bare ME





Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD - "Białasówka" Online Seminar - 34 / 29



Pseudo-PDFs – reduced matrix elements







Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 35 / 29



Pseudo-PDFs – evolved and $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}\text{-converted}$ matrix elements





Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 36 / 29



PDFs using ITDs with $z_{\text{max}} = 4a$





Fourier

Momentum

dependence



Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 37 / 29 Krzysztof Cichy



PDFs using ITDs with $z_{max} = 8a$







Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD - "Białasówka" Online Seminar - 38 / 29



PDFs using ITDs with $z_{\text{max}} = 12a$





Matching

Momentum dependence

Fourier



Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD - "Białasówka" Online Seminar - 39 / 29



PDFs from naive FT – z_{max} -dependence







Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD - "Białasówka" Online Seminar - 40 / 29



PDFs from BG – z_{max} -dependence





Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD - "Białasówka" Online Seminar - 41 / 29



PDFs from fits – z_{max} -dependence





Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD - "Białasówka" Online Seminar - 42 / 29



PDFs from fits – α_s -dependence



Outline of the talk Lattice PDFs Pseudo-PDFs Lattice and pheno Twist-3 Quasi-GPDs Summary Backup slides Pseudo-PDFs PDFs **Systematics** Quasi procedure Choice of boost Quasi-PDFs Matching Fourier Momentum dependence



Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD - "Białasówka" Online Seminar - 43 / 29



Systematics



Quantified systematics:

- z_{\max} : $\Delta z_{\max}(x) = \frac{|q_{z_{\max}/a=12}(x) q_{z_{\max}/a=4}(x)|}{2}$,
- $\alpha_s: \Delta \alpha_s(x) = |q_{\alpha_s/\pi = 0.129}(x) q_{\alpha_s/\pi = 0.1}(x)|.$

Estimated systematics:

• Discretization effects: assume 20%

indirect support: no violation of continuum dispersion relation, $E^2 = P_3^2 + m_N^2$, computations of moments of unpolarized PDFs by different groups: deviations of $\mathcal{O}(5-15\%)$ from continuum at similar lattice spacings.

• FVE: assume 5%

indirect support: $\exp(-m_{\pi}L) \approx 0.05$ for our setup, enhanced FVE? R. Briceño et al., Phys. Rev. D 98 (2018) 014511 toy scalar model, relevant parameter for FVE: $m_N(L-z) \longrightarrow \text{tiny}$, worst case: relevant parameter for FVE in QCD: $m_{\pi}(L-z) \longrightarrow \text{still rather small for small } z/a$, also indirectly no indication for such effects in Z-factors for quasi-PDFs.

- Excited states: assume 10% evidence: ETMC, Phys. Rev. D 99 (2019) 114504 – suppressed below stat. precision
- Matching (truncation effects and HTE): assume 20% indirect support: little dependence on α_s and on z_{\max} needed: 2-loop matching, explicit computation of HTE?


Quasi-PDFs procedure



The procedure to obtain light-cone PDFs from the lattice computation can be summarized as follows:

- 1. Compute bare matrix elements: $\langle N | \overline{\psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(z,0) \psi(0) | N
 angle$.
- 2. Compute renormalization functions in an intermediate lattice scheme (here: RI'-MOM): $Z^{\text{RI'}}(z,\mu)$.
- 3. Perturbatively convert the renormalization functions to the scheme needed for matching (here $M\overline{MS}$) and evolve to a reference scale: $Z^{\mathrm{RI}'}(z,\mu) \to Z^{M\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(z,\bar{\mu})$.
- 4. Apply the renormalization functions to the bare matrix elements, obtaining renormalized matrix elements in the $M\overline{MS}$ scheme.
- 5. Calculate the Fourier transform, obtaining quasi-PDFs:

$$\tilde{q}^{\mathrm{M}\overline{\mathrm{M}\mathrm{S}}}(x,\bar{\mu},P_3) = \int \frac{dz}{4\pi} e^{ixP_3 z} \langle N | \overline{\psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(z,0) \psi(0) | N \rangle^{\mathrm{M}\overline{\mathrm{M}\mathrm{S}}}.$$

- 6. Relate $\overline{\text{MMS}}$ quasi-PDFs to $\overline{\text{MS}}$ light-cone PDFs via a matching procedure: $\tilde{q}^{\overline{\text{MMS}}}(x, \bar{\mu}, P_3) \rightarrow q^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(x, \bar{\mu})$.
- 7. Apply nucleon mass corr. to eliminate residual m_N^2/P_3^2 effects.

Outline of the talk

Lattice PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Lattice and pheno

Twist-3

Quasi-GPDs

- Summary
- Backup slides

Pseudo-PDFs

PDFs

Systematics

Quasi procedure

Choice of boost Quasi-PDFs Matching Fourier Momentum dependence





What momentum should be used to obtain reliable light-cone PDFs?

The answer is seemingly simple – **large** momentum, but:

- we have finite lattice spacing \rightarrow UV cut-off of \approx 2 GeV.
- large momentum means it is very difficult to isolate the ground state \rightarrow excessive excited states contamination \rightarrow one needs to go to large enough source-sink separation $t_s \Rightarrow \text{COSTLY}!$



• Robust statements about excited states only when checking a few analysis methods. here: 2-state fit with $t_s/a = 8, 9, 10, 12$ shows full consistency with the 1-state fit at $t_s = 12a$.

Our largest momentum: $\approx 1.4 \text{ GeV}$

- safely below UV cut-off,
- excited states contamination shown to be smaller than statistical errors.



Fourier transform





C. Alexandrou et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 112001

Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD – "Białasówka" Online Seminar – 47 / 29



Quasi-PDFs + pheno





C. Alexandrou et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 112001

Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD - "Białasówka" Online Seminar - 48 / 29





The matching formula can be expressed as:

$$q(x,\mu) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|} C\left(\xi, \frac{\mu}{xP_3}\right) \tilde{q}\left(\frac{x}{\xi}, \mu, P_3\right)$$

C – matching kernel $\overline{MMS} \rightarrow \overline{MS}$: [C. Alexandrou et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 112001]

$$\left[\frac{1+\xi^2}{1-\xi}\ln\frac{\xi}{\xi-1} + 1 + \frac{3}{2\xi}\right]_+ \qquad \xi > 1,$$

$$C\left(\xi,\frac{\xi\mu}{xP_{3}}\right) = \delta(1-\xi) + \frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi}C_{F} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left[\frac{1+\xi^{2}}{1-\xi}\ln\frac{x^{2}P_{3}^{2}}{\xi^{2}\mu^{2}}\left(4\xi(1-\xi)\right) - \frac{\xi(1+\xi)}{1-\xi} + 2\iota(1-\xi)\right]_{+} & 0 < \xi < 1, \\ \left[-\frac{1+\xi^{2}}{1-\xi}\ln\frac{\xi}{\xi-1} - 1 + \frac{3}{2(1-\xi)}\right]_{+} & \xi < 0, \end{array} \right.$$

 $\iota = 0$ for γ_0 and $\iota = 1$ for $\gamma_3 / \gamma_5 \gamma_3$.

- Additional subtractions with respect to $\overline{\rm MS}$ made outside the physical region of the unintegrated vertex corrections.
- Thus, needs modified renormalization scheme for input quasi-PDF $\rightarrow M\overline{MS}$ scheme.
- In this procedure, vector current is **conserved**.

Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD - "Białasówka" Online Seminar - 49 / 29



Matched PDFs





C. Alexandrou et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 112001

Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD - "Białasówka" Online Seminar - 50 / 29



Matched PDFs





C. Alexandrou et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 112001

Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD - "Białasówka" Online Seminar - 50 / 29



Matched PDFs





C. Alexandrou et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 112001

Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD - "Białasówka" Online Seminar - 50 / 29







C. Alexandrou et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 112001

Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD - "Białasówka" Online Seminar - 51 / 29



Transversity PDF



C. Alexandrou et al., Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) 091503 (Rapid Communications)



Statistical precision already much better than the precision of phenomenological fits from SIDIS: JAM Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 152502

Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD - "Białasówka" Online Seminar - 52 / 29

Truncation of Fourier transform





Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD - "Białasówka" Online Seminar - 53 / 29

Momentum dependence of final PDFs







Nucleon momenta $\frac{6\pi}{48}$, $\frac{8\pi}{48}$, $\frac{10\pi}{48}$

Results seem to indicate convergence in nucleon boost Expected HTE: $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2/P_3^2) \approx 5\%$ at $P_3 = 1.4$ GeV

C. Alexandrou et al., Phys. Rev. D99 (2019) 114504

Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD - "Białasówka" Online Seminar - 54 / 29



Comparison with non-physical pion mass





Krzysztof Cichy Partonic structure of the nucleon from Lattice QCD - "Białasówka" Online Seminar - 55 / 29